PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF α DIALKYLAMINOENONES II. PHOTOCYCLISATION OF DIALKYLAMINOCYCLOHEXENONES AND TOSYLALKYLAMINO CYCLOHEXENONES.

J.C. Arnould and J.P. Pete

Laboratoire de Photochimie, U.E.R. Sciences, 51062 REIMS CEDEX (France).

(Received in UK 28 April 1975; accepted for publication 5 June 1975)

In previous papers we reported that α -methylene oxetanols and α -ketooxetanes are the main products of the photolysis of α -alkoxyenones (1). However, when linear α -enaminoketones are irradiated, deconjugation, elimination and oxidative cyclisation are the only observable reactions (2).

Since, all these reactions involve a γ hydrogen abstraction by the excited carbonyl group it was of interest to see if α -ketoazetidines and α -methyleneazetidinols might be produced in the photolysis of α -enaminoketones. We report here that such a photocyclisation process is possible.

Irradiation of a solution of 2-piperidino-2-cyclohexenone in ether or ethanol at 366 mn gives $\underline{2}$ as the volatile and isolable product (yield > 30% has not been optimized). We could not obtain the sensitization of this reaction. The structure of $\underline{2}$ is derived from spectroscopic date : IR (CCl₄) $_{\text{C=0}} = 1715 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; NMR (CCl₄) $_{\text{H}_{1}} : 3,7 \text{ ppm}$ d(J=7 Hz); $_{\text{H}_{3}} : 3,25 \text{ ppm}$ t (J=7 Hz) Mass $\frac{\text{m}}{\text{e}} : 179$ (22%), 84 (83%), 83 (100%). The cis junction of cyclohexanone and cyclobutane rings is deduced from the coupling constants in the NMR spectra (3) Further we note that $_{\text{H}_{3}}$ is coupled with $_{\text{H}_{2}}$ and only one of the $_{\text{H}_{4}}$ protons; this originates from diedral angle $_{\text{H}_{3}}$ -C-C-H_{4 $_{\alpha}$} close to 90°.

The absence of cyclisation in $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{2}$ might originate from an efficient deactivation consecutive to electron transfer from nitrogen to the enone in the excited state (4).

It has been shown that γ hydrogen abstraction in α amino ketones is more favorable when nitrogen is substituted by an electron withdrawing group such as β toluene sulfonyl (5). The preparation of α -ketoazetidines and α -methylene azetidinols should be possible from tosylalkylaminocyclohexenones, so we prepared compounds 5, $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{10}$.

When compound $\underline{5}a$ in solution in ether or ethanol is irradiated at 366 nm, several products are isolated. The structure $\underline{6}a$ is attributed to the major component (Yield > 30%) of the reaction mixture. IR $\nu_{c=0} = 1720$ cm⁻¹; UV: $\lambda_{max} = 300$ nm (40); 227 (11720), RMN (CDCl₃): 4,1 ppm (1H,m); 3,9 (1H,d, J=8 Hz); 1,4 (3H,d, J=6,5) Mass: $\frac{m}{e}$ 293 (30%); 155 (90%), 91 (100%). Similarly, $\frac{m}{5}b$ is transformed in $\frac{6}{5}b$ (50%).

During our studies on the photochemistry of 2-alkoxy-2-cyclohexene ones, we found that α methylene oxetanols are the major products when the β extremity of the carbon carbon double bond is substituted; the same effect is observed in the photolysis of these α enaminoketones. When $\underline{\gamma}$ alcoholic in solution is irradiated at 366 nm, a mixture is obtained from which two compounds are isolated $\underline{8}$ (40%) and $\underline{9}$ (35%). These structures are proposed on the basis of specstoscopic data: $\underline{8}$ NMR: vinyl group 6,1; 5,5; 5,3 ppm (3H,m); 4,5 ppm (1H, t, J=7) 4 ppm (1H,d, J=8) mass \underline{m} : 305 (4%), 150 (100%), 91 (90%) $\underline{9}$ IR CDCl $_3$: 3480, 1695, 1595 cm $^{-1}$; UV: λ $_{\rm max}^{\rm EtOH}$ 246 nm (422) 222 (12200) NMR(CDCl $_3$): 5,6 ppm (4H,m); 4,2 ppm (1H, d, J=8); 2,5 ppm (1H). This signal disappears in the presence of D $_2$ 0. However, by photolysis of $\underline{10}$, the substituted analog of $\underline{\gamma}$ in alcoholic solution, $\underline{11}$ is the only isolable material (25% yield).

All these results are rationalized in scheme 2 by a γ hydrogen abstraction followed by a cyclisation.

Several aspects of the reactivity of these α -enaminocyclohexenones have to be noted:

- 1. α -methylene azetidinols and α -ketoazetidines are isolated from cyclohexenones. $\underline{\underline{1}}$, $\underline{\underline{5}}$, $\underline{\underline{7}}$, $\underline{\underline{10}}$ even though no cyclisation is detectable from the corresponding 2-alkoxy-2-cyclohexenones (1c).
- 2. The cyclisation is made easier for the N.tosyl derivatives; the orientation of the cyclisation depends on the substitution on the C=C bond of the enone.
- 3. No cyclisation occurs from the enones $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{2}$. It has been shown that in the ground state, the structure of enamines is more planar and the electron delocalisation better when pyrrolidine rather than piperidine is used to form the enamine (6). We think that in the singlet excited state a faster deactivation of $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{2}$ compared to $\frac{1}{2}$ might occur and be competitive with γ hydrogen abstraction.
- 4. No inhibition has been detected in the presence of piperylene, and benzophenone does not sensitize the cyclisations of $\frac{5}{2}$, $\frac{7}{2}$ or $\frac{9}{2}$. However, when sensitizers are used, new products are formed exclusively (7). Therefore we can conclude that the formation of ketoazetidines $\frac{6}{2}$, $\frac{8}{2}$ and α methyl azetidinols $\frac{9}{2}$, $\frac{11}{2}$, is a singlet state reaction (8).
 - Although cyclisations consecutive to γ hydrogen abstraction and involving the extre-

SCHEME I

CH₂C₆H₅

SCHEME 2

mity of an allylic chain have been observed (9), no such cyclisation has been detected from $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{10}$.

In conclusion, we have shown that α -ketoazetidines and α -methylene azetidinols may be produced from irradiated α -enaminoketones. Work is in progress in order to develop synthetic applications and to study mechanistic aspects of these reactions.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Professor Le Men for the mass spectra and DGRST for financial support (Grants n° 72-7-0778 and 74-7-0230).

References

- 1) a. A. Feigenbaum and J.P. Pete Tetrahedron Lett. 2767 (1972)
 - b. J.P. Pete and J.L. Wolfhugel Tetrahedron Lett. 4637 (1973)
 - c. A. Enger, A. Feigenbaum and J.P. Pete Tetrahedron Lett. 959 (1975)
- 2) J.C. Arnould and J.P. Pete Tetrahedron Lett. (1975) submitted
- 3) a. M.P. Serve, Can.J.Chem. 50, 3744 (1972)
 - b. I. Fleming and D.H. Williams Tetrahedron 23,2747 (1967).
- a. A. Padwa, W. Eisenhardt, R. Gruber and D. Pashayan, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 91, 1857 (1969)
 b. P.J. Wagner, A.E. Kemppainen and T. Jellinek, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 93, 6998 (1971).
- a. cf 4a
 b. E.H. Gold, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 93, 2793 (1971)
- 6) E.J. Cone, R.H. Garner and A.W. Hayes J.Org.Chem. 37, 4436 (1972)
- 7) J.C. Arnould, J. Cossy and J.P. Pete, unpublished results.
- 8) This observation is similar to that reported by Cormier and Agosta on the photolysis of α methylene ketones.
 - cf. R.A. Cormier and W.C. Agosta J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 96, 618 (1974).
- 9) a. N.C. Yang, A. Morduchowitz and D.H. Yang, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 85, 1017 (1963)
 - b. K.H. Schultz-Elte and G. Ohloff Tetrahedron Lett. 1143 (1964)
 - c. R.A. Cormier, W.L. Schreiber, W.C. Agosta, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 95, 4873 (1973).